

## REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **31 October 2005** the Examiner reviewed claims 1, 3-4, 6, 11-15, 17, 21-22, and 27-32. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 11-15, 17, 21-22, and 27-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shachor (USPN 6,947,992, hereinafter “Shachor”), in view of Boyle (USPN 5,864,854 hereinafter “Boyle”).

### Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 14, 27, and 31 were rejected as being unpatentable over Shachor in view of Boyle. Applicant respectfully points out that the combined system of Shachor and Boyle teaches caching “**session information**” to allow routers to direct requests to the same HTTP session (see Shachor, Abstract) and to assign group caches to groups of interconnected clients (see Boyle, Abstract).

In contrast, the present invention caches “**actual data**” (not session information) and also **customizes the data** retrieved from the cache based on a client request (see page 22, line 8 through page 23, line 8 of the instant application). This is beneficial because it allows the system to provide customized data from a cache server, which is customized based on a client request. There is nothing within Shachor or Boyle, either separately or in concert, which suggests customizing the set of data based on an identifier of custom data within a second request.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 14, 27, and 31 to clarify that the present invention customizes the set of data based on a client request. These amendments find support on page 22, line 8 through page 23, line 8 of the instant application.


Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 14, 27, and 31 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also

submits that claims 3-4, 6, and 11-13, which depend upon claim 1, claims 15, 17, and claims 21-22, which depend upon claim 14, claims 28-30, which depend upon claim 27, and claim 32, which depends upon claim 31, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

**CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Edward J. Grundler  
Registration No. 47,615

Date: 3 January 2006

Edward J. Grundler  
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP  
2820 Fifth Street  
Davis, CA 95616-7759  
Tel: (530) 759-1663  
FAX: (530) 759-1665  
Email: edward@parklegal.com